

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           |      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---------------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/045,464                |      | 11/08/2001  | Vijay Mathur         | MEDCOR P02BUSP1        | 4296                    |  |
| 20210                     | 7590 | 04/30/2004  |                      | EXAM                   | EXAMINER                |  |
|                           |      | D, P.L.L.C. | RAEVIS, ROBERT R     |                        |                         |  |
| FOURTH F                  |      | IAL STREET  | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER           |                         |  |
| MANCHESTER, NH 03101-1151 |      |             |                      | 2856                   |                         |  |
|                           |      |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/30/200 | DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 10/045,464   | MATHUR ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,,  | Examin r   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Robert R. Raevis   | 2856  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP<br>Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a<br>final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe<br>Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | 1) a timely filed amendment whi  | cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in   |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensor CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THatte on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ision and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee elefe. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) M they present additional claims without cance  | ling a corresponding number of   | finally rejected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: Claims 10, 11 are directed to a new com   | bination.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection   | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | I be allowable if submitted in a s   | separate, timely filed amendment  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _  |  | sidered but does NOT place the  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | cause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which were newly  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows   | •  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>10</u> .   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-9 and 11</u> .  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app  | proved or b)  disapproved by   | the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| . Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.□ Other:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | RAEVIS  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | AU2856  |  |  |  |  |  |